

Hypothesis Testing & Chi-Squared

Mistake Analysis – Set III

Course	IB Mathematics: Analysis & Approaches HL
Topic	Topic 4 – Statistics & Probability
Level	Medium → Hard
Questions	6
Total marks	35
GDC	You may use your GDC. Show all working and state any GDC inputs used.
Mark scheme	M1 = method mark. A1 = accuracy mark. R1 = reasoning mark.

BEFORE YOU BEGIN

Hypothesis test structure: State H_0 and H_1 → identify test and significance level → compute test statistic → find p -value → compare with α → state conclusion in context.

z -test (known σ): $z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$. **t -test** (unknown σ): $t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{s/\sqrt{n}}$, with $\nu = n - 1$ degrees of freedom.

χ^2 test for independence: $\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O - E)^2}{E}$, where $E = \frac{\text{row total} \times \text{col total}}{\text{grand total}}$, with $\nu = (r - 1)(c - 1)$ degrees of freedom.

Type I error: rejecting H_0 when it is true (probability = α , the significance level).

Type II error: failing to reject H_0 when it is false.

Question 1

Medium

[5 marks]

A manufacturer claims that the mean lifetime of a battery is 50 hours. A sample of 100 batteries has a mean lifetime of 52 hours. The population standard deviation is known to be 10 hours. Test at the 5% significance level whether there is evidence that the mean lifetime is greater than 50 hours. State your hypotheses clearly.

MISTAKE ANALYSIS

$H_0 : \mu = 50$, $H_1 : \mu > 50$ (one-tailed, right). $z = \frac{52 - 50}{10/\sqrt{100}} = \frac{2}{1} = 2$. p -value = $P(Z > 2) \approx 0.0228$.

Since $0.0228 < 0.05$, reject H_0 . There is sufficient evidence at the 5% level that the mean lifetime is greater than 50 hours. GDC: $\text{normalcdf}(2, 99, 0, 1) \approx 0.0228$. Students who use a two-tailed test ($H_1 : \mu \neq 50$) double the p -value to 0.0456 and still reject, but lose the M1 for incorrect hypotheses. The word “greater” signals a one-tailed right-tailed test. Read the context before writing H_1 .

Question 2

Medium

[5 marks]

A dietician claims that a new diet reduces mean weight by more than the standard amount of 20 kg. A random sample of 15 participants lost a mean of 18.5 kg with a sample standard deviation of 4.2 kg. Test at the 5% significance level whether there is evidence that the mean loss is less than 20 kg. State your hypotheses.

MISTAKE ANALYSIS

$H_0 : \mu = 20, H_1 : \mu < 20$ (one-tailed, left). Population σ is unknown; use t -test with $\nu = 14$. $t = \frac{18.5 - 20}{4.2/\sqrt{15}} = \frac{-1.5}{1.085} \approx -1.383$. p -value = $P(T_{14} < -1.383) \approx 0.0941$. GDC: $tcdf(-99, -1.383, 14) \approx 0.0941$. Since $0.0941 > 0.05$, do not reject H_0 . Insufficient evidence. The critical error: using a z -test when σ is unknown. The sample standard deviation $s = 4.2$ is given, not the population σ . Whenever σ is unknown and estimated from the sample, use the t -test.

Question 3

Medium–Hard

[6 marks]

A survey records the preferred news source (TV, Online) for two age groups (Under 40, Over 40). The results are shown below.

	TV	Online
Under 40	30	20
Over 40	10	40

Test at the 1% significance level whether age group and news source preference are independent.

MISTAKE ANALYSIS

H_0 : age group and news source are independent. H_1 : they are not independent. Row totals: 50, 50. Column totals: 40, 60. Grand total: 100. Expected values: $E_{11} = \frac{50 \times 40}{100} = 20$, $E_{12} = 30$, $E_{21} = 20$, $E_{22} = 30$. $\chi^2 = \frac{(30-20)^2}{20} + \frac{(20-30)^2}{30} + \frac{(10-20)^2}{20} + \frac{(40-30)^2}{30} = 5 + 3.33 + 5 + 3.33 = 16.67$. $\nu = (2 - 1)(2 - 1) = 1$. p -value ≈ 0.0000 . GDC: $chisqcdf(16.67, 1)$ gives the left-tail; $p = 1 - chisqcdf(16.67, 1) < 0.001$. Since $p < 0.01$, reject H_0 : strong evidence that age group and news source are not independent. Students who compute χ^2 using $\frac{(O-E)}{E}$ (without squaring) get a signed, meaningless result. The formula is $\frac{(O-E)^2}{E}$, always non-negative.

Question 4

Medium–Hard

[6 marks]

- (a) In a hypothesis test at the 5% significance level, what is the probability of a Type I error?
- (b) A test has $H_0 : \mu = 30$ and $H_1 : \mu > 30$. The null hypothesis is not rejected. Describe, in context, what a Type II error would mean in this situation.
- (c) Explain why reducing the significance level from 5% to 1% decreases the probability of a Type I error but increases the probability of a Type II error.

MISTAKE ANALYSIS

(a) $P(\text{Type I error}) = \alpha = 0.05$ by definition. (b) A Type II error means failing to reject $H_0 : \mu = 30$ when in fact $\mu > 30$. In context: concluding there is no evidence that the mean exceeds 30 when the true mean actually does exceed 30. (c) Lowering α makes the rejection region smaller, so it is harder to reject H_0 – fewer true H_0 's are mistakenly rejected (Type I errors decrease). But this also means fewer false H_0 's are correctly rejected, so more false H_0 's are missed (Type II errors increase). Students who claim that lowering α reduces both error types are wrong. Type I and Type II errors are in direct tension: reducing one increases the other, for a fixed sample size.

Question 5

Hard

[6 marks]

The mean score on a standardised test is claimed to be 100. A random sample of 40 students has mean score 97 and the population standard deviation is 12.

- (a) Carry out a two-tailed z -test at the 5% significance level.
- (b) State the conclusion at the 1% significance level without further calculation, giving a reason.

MISTAKE ANALYSIS

$H_0 : \mu = 100, H_1 : \mu \neq 100. z = \frac{97 - 100}{12/\sqrt{40}} = \frac{-3}{1.897} \approx -1.581. p\text{-value} = 2 \times P(Z < -1.581) \approx 2 \times 0.0569 = 0.1138. GDC: 2*normalcdf(-99, -1.581, 0, 1) \approx 0.1138. (a) Since 0.1138 > 0.05, do not reject H_0 . Insufficient evidence at 5%. (b) Since 0.1138 > 0.05 > 0.01, the conclusion at 1% is also do not reject H_0 – the evidence is even weaker against a stricter criterion. Students who recompute the z -test for part (b) waste time. The p -value does not change. If $p > 0.05$, then certainly $p > 0.01$. The conclusion can only become harder to reject when the significance level decreases.$

Question 6

Hard

[7 marks]

A die is rolled 100 times and the results are recorded.

Score	1	2	3	4	5	6
Frequency	18	22	20	16	14	10

Test at the 5% significance level whether the die is fair.

MISTAKE ANALYSIS

H_0 : the die is fair (each face has probability $1/6$). H_1 : the die is not fair. Expected frequency for each face:

$$100/6 \approx 16.67. \chi^2 = \frac{(18 - 16.67)^2}{16.67} + \frac{(22 - 16.67)^2}{16.67} + \dots + \frac{(10 - 16.67)^2}{16.67} = \frac{1.77 + 28.41 + 11.09 + 0.43 + 7.13 + 44.49}{16.67} =$$

$\frac{93.32}{16.67} \approx 5.60. \nu = 6 - 1 = 5. p\text{-value} \approx 0.347. \text{GDC: } 1\text{-}\chi^2\text{cdf}(5.60, 5) \approx 0.347. \text{ Since } 0.347 > 0.05, \text{ do not reject } H_0. \text{ No significant evidence that the die is unfair. Students who use } \nu = 6 \text{ (number of categories) instead of } \nu = 5 \text{ (categories minus 1) look up the wrong critical value. Degrees of freedom for goodness-of-fit is always } \nu = k - 1 \text{ where } k \text{ is the number of categories.}$

WORKED SOLUTIONS – SET III – HYPOTHESIS TESTING & CHI-SQUARED

M1 = method mark. A1 = accuracy mark. R1 = reasoning mark. GDC inputs shown in typewriter font.

Solution – Question 1

$$H_0 : \mu = 50; \quad \text{M1}$$

$$H_1 : \mu > 50$$

(one-tailed)

$$z = \frac{52-50}{10/\sqrt{100}} = 2; \quad p \approx 0.0228 \quad \text{M1}$$

GDC:

$$\text{normalcdf}(2,99,0,1)$$

$$0.0228 < 0.05: \textit{Sufficientevidencemeanlifetime}>50hrs \quad \text{R1}$$

reject H_0

Solution – Question 2

$$H_0 : \mu = 20; \quad \text{M1}$$

$$H_1 : \mu < 20; \sigma$$

unknown: use t -

test, $\nu = 14$

$$t = \frac{18.5-20}{4.2/\sqrt{15}} \approx -1.383; \quad p \approx 0.0941 \quad \text{M1}$$

GDC:

$$\text{tcdf}(-99,-1.383,14)$$

$$0.0941 > 0.05: \textit{Insuficientevidence} \quad \text{R1}$$

do not reject H_0

Solution – Question 3

$$H_0: \quad \text{inde-} \quad \text{M1}$$

pendent;

Expected:

$$E_{11} = 20,$$

$$E_{12} = 30,$$

$$E_{21} = 20,$$

$$E_{22} = 30$$

$$\chi^2 = 5 + 3.33 + 5 + 3.33 = 16.67; \quad p < 0.001 \quad \text{M1}$$

$$\nu = 1$$

$$p < 0.01: \text{reject } \textit{Strongevidencenotindependent} \quad \text{R1}$$

H_0

Solution – Question 4

- (a) $P(\text{Type I}) = \alpha = 0.05$ A1
- (b) Failing to reject $H_0 : \mu = 30$ when $\mu > 30$ is true in reality A1
- (c) Smaller $\alpha \Rightarrow$ smaller rejection region \Rightarrow harder to reject $H_0 \Rightarrow$ more missed false H_0 's (Type II increases) R1
-

Solution – Question 5

- $H_0 : \mu = 100;$ M1
 $H_1 : \mu \neq 100;$
 $z = \frac{97-100}{12/\sqrt{40}} \approx -1.581$
- $p = 2 \times P(Z < -1.581) \approx 0.1138;$ M1 GDC:
 $2*\text{normalcdf}(-99, -1.581, 0, 1)$
- (a) $0.1138 > 0.05;$ do not reject H_0 R1
- (b) $0.1138 > 0.01$ also: same conclusion at 1%, no recalculation needed R1
-

Solution – Question 6

- $H_0:$ fair die; M1
 $E = 100/6 \approx 16.67$ for each face; $\nu = 5$
- $\chi^2 \approx 5.60;$ $p \approx 0.347$ M1
GDC:
 $1-\text{chi2cdf}(5.60, 5)$
- $0.347 > 0.05;$ do *Not significant evidence die is unfair* R1
not reject H_0
-

